

# UNITED STATES DEVERTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/466,521

12/17/99

GAMEL

D

96794DIV1

QM32/0714

**EXAMINER** 

MICHAEL C ANTONE KIRKRATRICK & LOCKHART LLP 1500 OLIVER BUILDING PITTSBURGH PA 15222

CHANG, R

**ART UNIT** 

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

07/14/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Application No.

09/466,521

Applica...t(s)

Gamel et al

Office Action Summary Examiner

Rick Kiltae Chang

Group Art Unit 3729

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 17, 1999</u>	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except to in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failur application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exten 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 30-37 and 44-75	<u>ie/</u> are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
$\square$ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ing Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	ected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	of the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	
received in this national stage application from the	
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	040
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	I THE FOLLOWING PAGES

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Fig. 5.7

Species II: Fig. 1.- 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Art Unit: 3729

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention (Species I or II) to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

### **Conclusion**

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick Chang whose telephone number is (703) 308-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 5:00 AM to 1:00 PM.

rc

June 22, 2000

LEE YOUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700